

The Applicant has still not given good enough attention to the listed buildings along the route of the N2T line. Pylons RG128/9//30 have an impact on Hempnalls Hall a Manor House with origins that date back to the 12C. Historic Englands Setting of Heritage Asset Guidance states that settings and surroundings that are experienced from a listed building are just as important as the house itself. The introduction of large steel industrial infrastructure alters the dynamics. The 50m pylons will out height the Manor Hall and create a visual competition to the Manor - drawing the eye away from what is important. The view from the Hall has not changed in 600 years and now it is facing industrialisation on a large scale. At no time has the route been looked at by the Applicant despite me sending in historians notes on the Hall and A doctor of Landscape archiology's report on how this will be altered by the

Applicant. Pylons will break the vistas of ancient times and the rural aspect of the Hall and it's surroundings. The landscape will shift from a historically agricultural setting to an industrial corridor. The pylons will erode the historical authenticity of the asset - superimposing it into the 21st Century when you could imagine yourself in the Tudor period today as so little has changed. The house has belonged to prominent people in the past including Anne of Cleves, John Paston, Queen Elizabeth, wife of Henry VII and Sir John Fastolf - Shakespeare's Falstaff. It was the birthplace of William De La Pole and has ties to Chaucer. Not only will pylons kill the magic of this place but will make it less of a historical picture for the people who visit. Four years have passed since the very first consultation and despite numerous invitations to the Applicant to come and see the Hall, we have been ignored. And ignored throughout the whole process as a simple HVDC cabling would be an acceptable compromise. A corridor of many pylons will degrade the area of historic value and it will be lost forever. The noise of high voltage pylons will disturb the tranquil setting of this historic hall.

Will there be aviation warning lights on the taller pylons disturbing the night sky? This has not been answered.

We have never had an alternative to Pylons given to us by the Applicant. We have never been asked whether HVDC is acceptable, whether updating original pylons is acceptable. The Applicant was set on pylons from the start and this is unacceptable as a consultation.

As a home owner with Pylons due to be erected near my land but not on it, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] having to deal with this company for four years. The most frustrating thing is that they are just not listening.

As we are told this planet is getting hotter and we should all think about that then why is the Applicant not being asked to think about it too - not in renewables which we can all agree is nothing but a good idea but in the execution and fireproofing of installations. Do we need pylons to start wild fires as it is suggested in Spain or should we bury them underground not only for safety but because most of Europe is using HVDC as it is the way forward to upgrading our grid. It has a security factor that pylons do not have. What has the Applicant done about security to our on land grid?

Could a drone fly into a pylon cable, detach it, cause a fire?

Why isn't the applicant following the Green Book?

The Hirons report - why is the Applicant suggesting everything must be done by 2030 when it is stated otherwise. Still there is lack of transparency. Why does the applicant still refuse to let us see costs and continue to pay such large salaries earned from bill payers such as myself.

There are so many things wrong with the Norwich to Tilbury line that the only reliable course of action is to pause and rethink.